
Natasha Beery, Director BSEP & Community Relations (unable to attend)

The following handouts were provided:

- *0741 Summary from Budget Document 5/31/17 (1 page)*
- *Student Achievement Strategies: Budget Summary (1 page)*

Perez noted Beery's absence and passed out the above-mentioned handouts. She stated that *0741 Summary from Budget Document 5/31/17 (1 page)*

10% on top of that would be a safe guess. Irwin wondered if Huchting had a concern. Huchting responded that she wondered how King evaluated that. Simon stated that he had been on the SGC at King for five years and

-
- Building capacity for parents to become effective participants
 - Rules and procedures
 - Revising the bylaws
-
- There was a discussion around the definition of “discretionary” funds.
 - Although the ways in which monies were being spent are valid, there needed to be a discussion about discretionary funds: are they discretionary or institutionalized? Simon noted that SSCs became SGCs and all the funding sources were brought to one place under one governance structure. Now that there’s only one set of discretionary funds and no real restrictions as to how the monies are spent, this has led to the current situation where we’re in now. How do we balance this?
 - Irwin stated that he thought the principals had certain ideas and then got the SGC onboard for what their agenda was.
 - Eknoian stated that while he was on the Oxford SGC, the expenditure of money was directly related to the parent survey. The things the parents wanted were ranked in order of importance and that informed how the funds were divvied up. What the parents wanted to see happen was revealed by the survey. What about those that didn’t turn in the survey? He stated they doubled the response this year by offering a movie night. He was also one of the volunteers that got parents to respond to the survey at after-school pick up.